January 21, 2015 6:00pm Special Board Meeting Agenda & Materials

MT. PROSPECT PARK DISTRICT 1000 W. CENTRAL ROAD MOUNT PROSPECT, ILLINOIS 60056

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

MEMO TO:

MT. PROSPECT PARK DISTRICT BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

PRESS

PUBLIC

FROM:

STEVE KURKA

PRESIDENT

DATE OF THIS NOTICE:

JANUARY 16, 2015

RE:

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

JANUARY 21, 2015 - 6:00 P.M.

CENTRAL COMMUNITY CENTER

1000 W. CENTRAL ROAD, MT. PROSPECT, ILLINOIS

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENT

DISCUSSION ITEMS

A. Big Surf Pool – Review/discussion of Options for Potential Renovations

ADJOURNMENT

DISCUSSION ITEM

A. Big Surf Pool – Review/Discussion of Options for Potential Renovations

Background

Staff has prepared a power-point presentation regarding options to consider/discuss for Big Surf Pool. A copy of presentation is included with this packet.

Since this meeting is one hour in length, I recommend the Board follow the order below for this discussion on Big Surf Pool:

- •Staff gives their presentation at the beginning of the special meeting
- •Board asks questions/discusses the information presented
- •Public in attendance at the meeting are invited to ask questions, provide input about the presentation and/or Big Surf pool.
- •Board has further discussion and comes to a consensus as to what they believe the next steps should be in regards to changes to Big Surf Pool.

Things to consider.....

- •Each option comes with financial and community implications which need to be considered. I believe the elected Board should determine the option for this facility they believe is appropriate and in the best interest of the park district.
- •Once a Board consensus is determined as to what to do with Big Surf and budgetary limits are established by the Board, I believe that would be the appropriate time to determine the value of forming of an advisory committee to provide input on renovations at Big Surf.

Mt. Prospect Park District

Big Surf Pool Renovation Project Discussion

Bringing Everyone Up to Speed

FGM Architects

- Facility Evaluation in August of 2014
- Developed Comprehensive Report
 - Outlined several areas for discussion
 - Presented to Mt. Prospect Park District Board of Commissioners in November 2014.
 - Detailed report is available online at mppd.org

Facility Evaluation

Staff Developed Available Options for Discussion

- 1. Continue To Operate the Pool As Is
- 2. Re-build Existing Pool/Bath-house/Concessions (Bring Facility Up to Code)
- 3. Build A New Pool New Features/Amenities
- 4. Close The pool
- 5. Other Considerations

We have also provided Advantages and Disadvantages to each option.

Continue To Operate Pool As Is

Discussion of Option #1

Advantages

- The pool is well maintained
- Revenue vs. Meadows Pool
- Staff Costs
- Budget Standpoint

Disadvantages

- Pool & Bathhouse functionally obsolescence
- Maintenance time and expense increasing
- Attendance & Revenue are on the decline
- Single attraction pool
- Are not competing with area water parks
- Budget Standpoint

Re-build Existing Pool/BH/Conc.

Discussion of Option #2

Advantages

- A wave pool would still be unique attraction in the NW suburbs
- Reduction in maintenance time and expense
- Aesthetics of the pool & bathhouse would improve – would meet current codes
- Could be step one in a phase renovation process
- Remaining funds could be used toward other Capital Projects
- Could improve attendance

Disadvantages

- Funding could be allocated toward other capital projects in the District
- Would be still be a one dimensional pool and lack the amenities needed to address the needs of a variety of age groups
- Budget Standpoint

Build A New Pool-New Features Amenities

Discussion of Option #3

Advantages

- New facility would be compliant with current ADA and ...
- Significant reduction in maintenance time and expense
- The opportunity to address the needs of the community with multiple amenities
- State of the art pump room and filter system.
- Increased Attendance Aesthetically pleasing
 bathhouse and concession stand

Disadvantages

- Funding ability through bond issuance will not enable MPPD to compete with neighboring park districts facilities
- Bond Funds would be limited for future major projects. Major projects most likely would need to be delayed until another revenue source becomes available or funded by referendum

Close The Pool in Future

-Financial reasons/cost of repairs

Discussion of Option #4 **Disadvantages**

Advantages

- Reduce Operations Expenses
- Bond funds are not used
- Bond funds available for other capital projects
- Use the land for development of additional amenities

- Lose a community pool
- Lose Revenue
- Increases usage at both RecPlex and Meadows pool
- Could have a negative public perception

Other Considerations

- Outdoor pool on south-side of town
- Invest in less expensive aquatic amenities throughout the District
- Financial Future of the District

Open Discussion